



CALIFORNIA
LICENSED
FORESTERS
ASSOCIATION

*The Voice of
California's
Licensed
Foresters*

Inside this issue:

Presidents Message

Forest Practice

Legislation

Licensing

Water & Wildlife

Forestry Challenge

Calendar 2020

Attachments:

- ◆ ARC Refreshers
- ◆ Mentorship Program
www.clfa.org

CLFA
2149 Carson Mesa Road
Acton, CA 93510
Phone: 916.913.9970
Cell: 661.789.7683
Fax: 661.269.5513
Email:
Kathleen Burr — admin@clfa.org
Ariel Roughton —
president@clfa.org

www.clfa.org

California Licensed Foresters Association

August 2020 - Newsletter

President's Message

Ariel Roughton, CLFA President

Forestry and Natural Resource Career Mentorship Program

As the summer season end is fast approaching and students are getting ready to start or return to their college programs, the CLFA board is happy to announce our new partnership with the Forestry and Natural Resources Mentorship Program. As we look to increase the number of registered professional foresters, CLFA is actively working to build pathways encouraging new cohorts of professionals to join our ranks. This partnership creates an exciting opportunity to share our expertise and passion in welcoming new natural resource professionals.

This program is the result of a month long collaboration between Ca. Women in Timber, University of California, and Cal Alumni Foresters. UC Berkeley students, recognizing the need for career guidance about entering the profession, initiated calls for support. CLFA is pleased to join these collaborators as a core partner and fiscal host.

While encouraging participation in the field of forestry, it is vital that we recognize the need for our profession to embody diversity of thought and experiences making clear the forestry profession is open to all who wish to pursue it. As a collaborative program aimed at growing participation in forestry and natural resources by promoting diversity, equity, and inclusion this program represents a significant step towards this goal.

The program will be piloted this coming school year at UC Berkeley with the intention to expand the program to all California forestry programs in the coming years. Mentors and Mentees will be paired based on shared interests, experiences, and career goals. This affords an opportunity for mentees to gain hands-on real-world experience while mentors are able to build relationships with future foresters.

Please visit our [website](#) to find additional information including [mentor application](#) and [mentor description and roles](#), mentor applications are open now through **August 31st**. Questions or concerns regarding the program can be directed to Allison Erny (allison@placerrcd.org) or Mary Mayeda (mary.mayeda@mcrd.org). We encourage all members able and willing to take on a mentee to apply and support the success and growth of this program. We look forward to supporting this program and watching it expand to support the students and professionals of our organization.



Forest Practice—BOF

Andrea Eggleton—Regulatory Rep to CLFA

The Board of Forestry and Fire Protection met on July 14-15, 2020 via teleconference. The next board meeting is currently scheduled for August 18-19, 2020 and will be held via teleconference. The agenda for the August meeting has not yet been published, however the agenda for the July meeting is available at:

<https://bofdata.fire.ca.gov/business/meeting-agendas-and-annual-schedules/>

The following is a summary of items of greatest interest to the RPF community from the July Board of Forestry Meeting:

Joint Committee Meeting – the Forest Practice, Management, and Resource Protection Committees met jointly to conduct business in July.

Utility Exemption Revisions

Summary: Review of the rule set is prompted by problems brought up by agencies and stakeholders with utility work. This will be a reorganization effort of the entire rule set, similar to the 1038 reorganization that we did a few years ago. Some revisions need to be made to meet standards from SB 901.

1104 includes 3 types of conversion exemptions

The [current rule plead](#) reorganizes the rule text into 5 sections, and there are more issues

- Mapping standards

- Required form to be filled out, as provided by Dept

- Danger tree removal and large old tree language will be looked at

- Timelines in terms of director's review will be clarified where the Board has discretion

- Slash treatment includes confusing language that is proposed to just be 18" within one year for all conversion exemptions

- A notice of completion is required as well

This work will occur over the next year, with the goal of putting this to 45-day notice in June 2021

Need to look closely at this rule section

The BOF is very interested in the Department's report that looks at the Department capacity to monitor Utility Vegetation Management activities

CDFW wants to see requirement for Notice of Commencement for utility exemptions, not just a tentative start date. Want also info on progress from utilities. Not sure how they would be able to keep up with the workload... Want follow up on illegal cannabis conversions utilizing the < 3 ac exemption. Also wants to see "large, old tree" become "large or old tree".

Forest Botany – [BOF Staff Report](#)

Summary: CDFW has asked the BOF to undertake a review of botanical protection procedures for Timber Operations. See link to BOF Staff report above for details. Meeting attendees shared additional concerns on both sides with the current ways in which botanical resources are addressed and regulated in various parts of the State. There is much work to do here and this effort is just at the very beginning.

The BOF asked CDFW if they have any specific recommendations or ideas:

- Small group at CDFW is working to bring specific ideas to the Board within the next month or two
- No specific recommendations were presented by CDFW or reviewed during the meeting.

CAL FIRE

- There has historically been a difference in the way that botanical resources are addressed on the coast versus the interior

- Imposition of survey requests from CDFW are getting close to the line of underground regulation Centers on the idea of the CNPS ranking, which is not the same as rare, threatened, or endangered under Federal ESA

- The two get conflated commonly, to the point that RPFs and LOs are being required to treat CNPS ranked plants as ESA listed

- Plan review is being held up for additional information, resulting in a shifting of common practice Huff's overarching goal is to bring consistency across the coast and interior, as well as make sure the program is lawful. This topic has been a problem in these areas for 20 years.



Forest Practice—BOF cont.

CDFW

Also want to promote consistency and transparency, as well as environmental integrity

“Consistency” may be a concern for small landowners who cannot afford comprehensive botanical surveys

Chairman Gilliss would like to see some monitoring results

Many companies have 20 years of survey data that they may consider presenting

Pleased to see that there are plenty of available professionals to conduct surveys

Concerned about the economic impacts

Curious about qualifications for surveyors, qualifications for making rankings

Chris Quirmbach – Michigan-California Timber Co

100,000+ ac in Siskiyou county

Have been doing plant surveys on the property for last 20 years, \$20k per year now with contractors

Problem statement would be the best first step on the issue

Raised 8 areas of concern/interest

Scoping methodology has changed over the years, difficult for plan preparers to come up with a consistently accepted method

Issue of CNPS listing and what that means – lack of transparent, public decision-making process.

Specifically talking about provisions in 15380(d). Once it has a CNPS ranking, it auto goes to “sensitive”

We should be trying to focus on unique habitats

Some areas are inherently protected including wet areas, rock outcrops à do not need to survey for areas where operations are restricted in the first place.

Look at nexus between CEQA and Native Plant Protection Act

CEQA is relevant to other land uses such as development; consider the varying environmental impacts between development and conservation of habitat through forest management

Looking at ways to capitalize on previous surveys that have been done over the years and evaluate the status of species

Ministerial permits – BOF action in this area should be based on evidence showing actual adverse impacts associated with such permits rather than speculation that the permits are resulting in impacts.

CLFA expressed support for Quirmbach’s 8 items of concern, stating that many of these topics had been discussed by our board as well, and expressed that foresters need to be able to plan and predict what the standard is going to be while we are engaged in the our work of conserving species and their habitat on timberlands.

Full Board

Chairperson’s Report

Motion to approve 3 items on the consent calendar – approve [RPF/CRM license withdrawals](#) and the two appointments to the EMC ([Matthew House](#) and [Sal Chinnici](#)). Passes unanimously.

CAL FIRE [Director’s Report](#)

COVID and coming into fire season are combining to create significant and unprecedented challenges this year

CAL FIRE has had a significant jump in positive cases within the Department in the last 2-3 weeks, with currently 23 known positive cases (8,000 total employees within the Department), with an additional 53 responders in isolation due to exposure to the 23 positive cases. Expect most to be back to work soon. Utilizing an internal contact tracing procedure to determine which employees have been exposed and need to be in isolation. All employees are required to wear masks when they cannot maintain physical distancing or are working in close proximity to each other (ie riding in vehicles together). Contractors participating in emergency responses are also being required to be in masks as well.

Due to inmate transfers and movement within the fire camp training centers, 12 camps have been exposed to known positive COVID-19 cases. All 12 camps and their 47 respective crews are quarantined (192 total CDCR crews) and out of service right now. A significant number of inmates are being released this week and over the next few months, which is expected to reduce inmate crew capacity.



Forest Practice—BOF cont.

Director Porter speculates that they will have access to 140-150 inmate crews available this year. Probability is high for a rolling quarantine situation throughout the season.

CAL FIRE is able to expand firefighter pool with more hand crews through early career training. CAL FIRE has an annual 60,000 acre treatment target, and this year they have completed 45,000 acres, so they are on track to meet the goal.

Executive Officer's Report

Has been unsuccessful in filling the Regulations Coordinator position as a Forester II, and it is now being flown as an Environmental Scientist position in hopes of more interest from applicants

Review of the [Legislative Report](#)

AB 28 in its current form will significantly change the way that the Board is able to do business and they ways in which it can interact with stakeholder groups

AB 3074 introduces the 0-5 foot non-combustible zone around buildings

The [CalVTP Implementation Viewer](#) was launched on July 14, 2020. This resource and other data viewers can be found on the Board website at the following link: <https://bof.fire.ca.gov/projects-and-programs/calvtp/>

Current [rulemaking matrix](#) shows the status of each current rule package within the BOF's business
Special Presentation

Reforestation Practices for Conifers in California – Bill Stewart, UCANR, and Tom Jopson, FVMC Forest Legacy Committee Chair

Update on the 1971 CA Reforestation Manual

Competing vegetation is very influential on tree growth

Successful reforestation is based on simple principles, but a complex schedule of management actions must nearly all be implemented to avoid failure. Many RPFs are lacking adequate experience with current reforestation techniques and lessons learned over the decades since the original 1971 publication to address the significant acreages of post-fire landscapes that will continue to be created through catastrophic wildfire.

Reforestation expertise is concentrated within industrial companies; the USFS and other agencies no longer are the experts on regeneration (1971 manual almost exclusively authored by USFS staff).

[Their presentation](#) introduces the updated manual, which is a fully peer-reviewed publication by UCANR. It is in its final review and is expected to be published by this fall.

The first chapter can be found on the website. The presenters also provided a paper, which compares the reforestation efforts of the USFS and industrial owners within the Moonlight Fire footprint in Plumas County. Not surprising data, but amazing that it has been presented in this peer-reviewed format.

Check out this awesome presentation and look forward to the final publication! Thank those CLFA members who have contributed their valuable knowledge and expertise to updating this really important resource.



Licensing Chairman—Robert Little

On July 20, 2020, I (LITTLE) conducted a 20 min phone call with Dan Stapleton, Assistant Executive Officer, Foresters Licensing, discuss updates and progress since our last meeting in June. The purpose of the meeting was to review our continuing interaction and identify any new information or needs from Dan on behalf of Professional Foresters Registration / Licensing.

1. Exam Proctors NEED/ ACTION ITEM: Offer still stands to conduct proctor training as part of CLFA Breakfast meeting groups and said he could train people to function as proctors in about a one hour block of time right after any of these meetings. As a result of our newsletter several RPF's reached out and contacted me about proctoring. Some were willing to proctor in a specific geographic area (like San-Luis Obispo) but not keen on travelling to perform the tasks. Dan wants to conduct the training in September, it's close to the October Exams and keeps the confidential information shared with proctors better secured. We did pick up interest for an additional proctor in Redding area, so that's a plus. Dan and I will coordinate with that person. Additional support is needed in Santa Rosa. Jason Wells said he would proctor for Santa Rosa.

2. Exam sites NEED / ACTION ITEM: CLFA members that might have knowledge of private sites where exams would be suitable to be held are of interest to Dan. Sites that are not State/ County/ Govt. facilities have more flexibility for weekend dates when exams could be held. Dan believes these (weekends) are preferred for people taking the exam.

- Circle back w/ Mark Pugsley about Ft Bragg. Need location confirmation asap, and proctor commitment.
- Right now there are about 12 for Santa Rosa, and maybe 5 or 6 in Ft. Bragg. Ft. Bragg may be needed in case the Eureka site gets shut-down due to C-19 considerations. Ideal if Ft. Bragg can accommodate about 15 max.

3. Current Exam enrollment Stats . Right now there are nearly 60 people signed up for the fall exam. Dan sent letters to these people for exam location preference on July 14th. Dates and exam apps are avail from the BOF webpage.

- Physical exam sites. Obviously precautions will have to apply physical spacing at exam sites. Dan/ BOF are looking at ways to do this for the upcoming exam and guidance will be forthcoming.

Exam and process. BOF' continues to look at employing secure software technology that allows exam takers to use their own computers to take the exam. This will remain a continuing topic for PFEC.

4. Outreach: Dan has continued interest in any in state or out of state outreach "career-fair" opportunities to promote the role and opportunities of the RPF/ CRM. If you have anything event-wise please let me Robert Little know.

5. June PFEC Meeting reference material and notes. The next PFEC meeting will be Wednesday Aug 26, 9AM to 12 noon.

<https://bof.fire.ca.gov/>

- PFEC Policies. Discussion on the Program Policies of the Board. 5 of 12 Policies were reviewed for final version. Review will continue on this at the next meeting.
- RPF lists continue to be worked on -There is an emphasis on geographic data for RPFs areas of practice, to get the right RPF to the right people based on locations willing to work.

20 will be scheduled for next PFEC meeting. This is still pending

6. RPF License Renewal reminders. RPF's with even license #s. Please renew if you haven't already, late fees are coming after July. Dan expressed concern with many RPF's having 30 years of practice who have not renewed yet. On-line payment system had/has issues and will be in place for 2021.



Legislative Joe Starr—Committee Chair

COVID-19 Takes Huge Toll on State Budget, Bill Packages Dwindle

The 2020 legislative session started with an ambitious agenda for both Governor Newsom and legislative leaders as they introduced over 2,000 new bills to address several key policy issues such as housing, energy, wildfires, transportation, and health care. Before COVID-19 hit, the state's budget was in good shape with healthy economic activity, including a \$6 billion surplus and a \$16 billion rainy day fund. As a result, Governor Newsom included several new ambitious proposals in his January budget, including an effort to place a \$5 billion climate resiliency and wildfire bond for voter consideration on the November ballot. But several ambitious plans came to a grinding halt in mid-March as the State Legislature, state government agencies and all non-essential business activity were essentially put on hold for nearly two months due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

In May, legislators resumed legislative activity but under strict social distancing orders, a shortened legislative calendar and limited public access. These actions forced them to dispense with most of the non-essential issues as they shifted their attention to focus mainly on COVID-19 response, the state budget, wildfire issues, PG&E's bankruptcy, and social issues related to recent activities over police use of force. On June 29th, the Senate and Assembly concluded their house of origin deadlines and then adjourned for a brief Summer Recess where they were expected to return on July 13th. However, legislative officers issued an emergency notice on July 6th that all State Capitol offices would be closed for deep cleaning and all future hearings would be postponed until July 27th due to several legislators and legislative staff who tested positive for COVID-19.

When the Legislature returns to business on July 27th, legislators will have only five weeks to act on roughly 700 bills that are still alive before the August 31st end-of-session deadline. A revised schedule of committee hearings (see attached) will involve around-the-clock hearings between through August 20th, followed by two weeks of respective Senate and Assembly Floor sessions on bills that happen to make it to the floor for final votes. Considering the health risks caused by COVID-19, each house is setting up a process to allow legislators who decide to not attend in-person hearings, the ability to vote remotely or provide a proxy to have their vote taken by another legislator. But with 500 Assembly bills that the Senate must consider under a short timeframe, the committee chairs have already signaled that they will dispense with unnecessary Assembly bills.

This action will inevitably lead to what is known as the "hostage taking" process where each house decides to keep bills from moving in their respective house until there is an agreement from leadership that certain priority bills will move. Ultimately, the governor will have the final word on legislation as he must act (sign or veto) on all bills that are sent to his desk by September 30th.

2020-21 Budget Update – Adopted On Time, Defers Future Pain with Federal “Trigger Cuts”

Meanwhile, the COVID-19 pandemic has wreaked havoc on the state's economy, especially tourism, retail, and restaurant industries, which caused a domino effect impacting every sector. California has incurred a loss of 2.4 million jobs and the state unemployment rate currently sits at 17%. The impact has been deep and wide affecting the state's budget which resulted in a \$54 billion budget structural deficit for the 2020-21 fiscal year. As a result, California was forced to adopt a baseline budget with several cuts to various programs.

On July 1st, Governor Newsom signed a \$202 billion budget that seeks to close the \$54 billion budget gap by protecting public education and supporting those facing the greatest hardships. The budget represents a compromise between Governor Newsom and legislative leaders as COVID-19 continues to have a disproportionate impact on lower-wage workers and is further exacerbating income inequality at a time of increased homelessness due to the lack of affordable housing. The budget deal relies on a hope that \$11 billion in so-called "trigger cuts" could possibly be avoided if the state receives at least \$14 billion in federal funds by October 15th. In the meantime, the budget deal temporarily avoids significant cuts to health care and education programs by temporarily suspending the use of net operating losses for medium and large businesses and temporarily limits the amount of business incentive credits a taxpayer can use in any given tax year to \$5 million. These short-term limitations, which are essentially tax increases, will generate \$4.4 billion in new revenues in the first year and a total of \$9 billion over the next three years. On the budget trailer front, the Legislature passed, and the governor signed legislation that establishes a Good Neighbor Authority (GNA) Fund in the State Treasury to facilitate the implementation of forest management projects on federal lands. The new fund will serve as a depository for timber sale revenues generated by state agencies through the completion of GNA projects, which will be reinvested to help increase the pace and scale of forest treatment activities on federal lands.



Legislation cont.

total of \$9 billion over the next three years. On the budget trailer front, the Legislature passed, and the governor signed legislation that establishes a Good Neighbor Authority (GNA) Fund in the State Treasury to facilitate the implementation of forest management projects on federal lands. The new fund will serve as a depository for timber sale revenues generated by state agencies through the completion of GNA projects, which will be reinvested to help increase the pace and scale of forest treatment activities on federal lands.

With the budget now in place, California leaders and the state's fiscal analyst are waiting for new revenue numbers to come given the delayed tax filing deadline. The reopening of several businesses in late spring to early summer, coupled with higher wage earners filing late returns have helped bolster early reports that indicate the state received about 12 percent more revenues than it anticipated since April. When legislators return, they will be pressured by various groups to provide additional funding for various programs that were previously cut or deferred, particularly those tied to education and social programs to address distance learning, health care and housing. This will likely be followed by several so-called "clean up" budget trailer bills that seek to supplement the Legislature's July's budget actions, including plans to disperse roughly \$900 million from the state's cap-and-trade program. The budget trailer bills could cover a range of topics and do not go through the normal policy committee process.

2020 Legislation - The Final Lap

As noted above, most legislation introduced in 2020 has not moved except for key issues related to COVID-19, housing, and wildfires. But legislative leaders are also seeking last-minute pushes to pass legislation that would clarify additional exemptions to last year's landmark independent contractor law (aka. AB 5); expand paid family leave on all employers to include non-immediate family members; provide rent relief or loan forbearance for those impacted by COVID-19; and establish a narrow form of liability protection for small businesses that may face potential lawsuits due to COVID-19 exposure claims. To spur economic growth, the governor will also be seeking job and economic recovery proposals in the final weeks influenced by recommendations from his Economic Recovery Task Force.

On the natural resources and environment categories, several bills that would have impacted forestry operations and forest landowners were held and did not move out of their house-of-origin due to limited abilities by committees to hold hearings as a result of COVID-19. However, of the bills that remain alive in these areas, they fall in the categories of the following: 1) two-year carry-over bills from 2019; 2) new 2020 bills that passed under the COVID priority test; 3) recent "gut-and-amend" bills that are in the second house. These bills address a range of topics, including efforts to promote more wildfire protections and defensible space in high fire severity zones; protection of certain lands under new conservation goals; new state planning coordination efforts to address climate change and adaptation; and an effort to relax takings of certain candidate species that are proposed for listing. Below are some of the key bills that remain alive (click on bill for language and status):



Legislation cont.

Bill #	Subject	Position
<u>AB 235 (Maves)</u>	Candidate species: takings	Watch
<u>AB 464 (Garcia)</u>	Climate change: wildfire emissions	Watch
<u>AB 1035 (Ramos)</u>	COVID-19: small business liability relief	Watch
<u>AB 1850 (Gonzalez)</u>	Worker classification: independent contractors	Support if Amended
<u>AB 2371 (Friedman)</u>	Climate adaptation: advisory team and funding	Watch
<u>AB 2954 (Rivas)</u>	Climate change: natural and working lands	Watch
<u>AB 3030 (Kalra)</u>	Land and ocean conservation goals	Pending
<u>AB 3074 (Friedman)</u>	Defensible space: ember resistant zones	Watch
<u>AB 3163 (Salas)</u>	Biomethane procurement	Watch
<u>AB 3164 (Friedman)</u>	WUI: wildfire risk model guidelines	Watch
<u>SB 69 (Weiner)</u>	Ocean resiliency	Neutral
<u>SB 182 (Jackson)</u>	Wildfires: planning and zoning	Watch
<u>SB 474 (Stern)</u>	SRA: development prohibition	Watch
<u>SB 515 (Caballero)</u>	PUC: high hazard fuel zone	Support
<u>SB 989 (B. Dahle)</u>	Sierra Nevada Conservancy	Watch
<u>SB 1199 (McGuire)</u>	Commission on Home Hardening	Watch
<u>SB 1348 (Stern)</u>	Defensible space: forest management	Pending



Water/Wildlife/Boyney

Mark Pugsley — Committee Chair

WATER QUALITY

State Water Control Board - The Proposed 2020-2025 Nonpoint Source Program Implementation Plan has been released. Section III Item C (P. 22 to 31) focuses on Forestry, VMP projects, wildfire sedimentation, and Utility ROW management and includes a number of items of interest both at the state and regional levels.

Botany - Board of Forestry discussed CDFW proposal for Botanical Survey Requirements. See Forest Practice Committee for more information.

Information to the Board from Elicia Goldsworthy:

The listing criteria for special status native plants per 14 CCR 15380 is not rigorous enough to define recovery criteria. The threshold for the California Rare Plant Ranking is presumed equivalency to State and Federal criteria. The threshold for down ranking is maintained through cooperation with a special-interest, non-profit, native plant advocacy group (i.e. CNPS). Outcomes of ranking status tend to result in increased regulatory burden for forest landowners.

Since 1998, protocol level surveys have been completed throughout the range of TPZ lands, in a variety of habitats, and with varied results. There is evidence that native plant species for which THP mitigations are routinely applied, do not meet the State or Federal criteria for ranking/listing. In some cases, the population information for native plant species exceeds the CDFW/CDNNB/CNPS criteria for down ranking, yet rarely does the ranking change in favor of less regulatory burden.

CDFW staff has enforced the botanical survey guidelines inconsistently and RPFs have little regulatory certainty to plan for operations. The botanical issues have long been treated as underground regulation and many companies and consultants are hostage to an unclear set of expectations on a landscape that provides a renewable resource and continuous suitable habitat. Temporary modification of habitat may occur, in the form of vegetative composition change, as a result of timber harvest in some cases; but conversion (loss) of habitat is not permitted in excess of 3-acres on any ownership. Suitable habitat for many special status native plant species are maintained in perpetuity on California's timberlands. Mitigation of potential impacts to sensitive status species should be reserved for those species which are truly at risk and pass the threshold for State or Federal listing criteria.



Forestry Challenge Update

Diane Dealey Neill

Fall Events: The uncertainty of our fall event season due to changing school district policies on in-classroom learning, field trips, and travel, requires constant contact with teachers asking for updates. We will learn more by mid-August but as of now, it seems unlikely that schools will be allowed to attend a full Forestry Challenge event. However, we want to try to accommodate schools in whatever capacity they are allowed to participate. Here are some potential options we are considering that will allow us to continue to deliver the Forestry Challenge program to students:

Regular Events - If at all possible, we want students to experience the full Forestry Challenge program, including overnight stays on site. We realize this option is probably not going to happen, but we have not formally canceled regular events yet.

One Day Events - If teachers are allowed to transport groups of students in vehicles, we plan to offer single-day events where students would be socially distanced from other schools while taking an outdoor field test. We have identified seven locations throughout the state, either parks or forests, that are within two hours from almost all schools.

Team Collaboration - If students are allowed to work together on campus but not allowed to travel, we will set up an event where teams gather for a focus topic that they can complete on campus and use their data to create an online team presentation.

Distance Events - If students are neither allowed on campus nor to gather in teams, we plan to offer a virtual version of the Forestry Challenge, with an online field test and focus topic that will not involve contact between students.

Scholarships: We received outstanding applications for the two scholarship programs. Four applicants were chosen to receive \$500 scholarships from the Los Angeles Hardwood Lumberman's Club. The winners for the LAHLC Scholarship are Autumn Pecarovich (HSU), Madison Washburn (OSU), Makena Plourde (NAU), and Sierra Stalter (Reedley). Applications for the Redwood Empire Scholarship are still in the review process and winners will be announced in the next CLFA newsletter.



2020 CLFA BOARD MEETING WORKSHOP/CONFERENCE SCHEDULE

BOARD MEETINGS

- ◆ August 18, 2020—6:00 pm—8:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ September 26, 2020—9:00 am—2:00 pm—Granzellas, Williams, CA
- ◆ October 20, 2020—6:00 pm—8:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ November 21, 2020—TBD
- ◆ December—Dark
- ◆ January 23, 2021—9:00 am—2:00 pm—Granzellas, Williams, CA
- ◆ February 23, 2021—6:00 pm—8:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ March 4, 2021—11:00 am—4:00 pm—Gaia, Anderson
- ◆ April 20, 2021—6:00 pm—8:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ May 21, 2021—6:00 pm—CLFA Audit
- ◆ May 22, 2021—9:00 am—2:00 pm—Granzellas, Williams, CA

CLFA WORKSHOPS / CONFERENCES

- ◆ September 21—25, 2020 Initial 5-day Archaeology Class—Gaia, Anderson – ***Class is Full***
- ◆ October 26-30, 2020—Initial 5-day Archaeology Class—Gaia, Anderson – ***Class is Full - If you would like to be on the waiting list contact Kathleen—admin@clfa.org***
- ◆ November 11 & 12, 2020 Archaeology Refreshers—Gaia, Anderson—***these are the only refresher classes that will be offered in 2020—register now.***
- ◆ November 2020—two field days—date and location TBD
- ◆ March 5 & 6, 2021—Spring Workshop, Gaia Hotel & Spa, Anderson, CA

2020/2021 CLFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CLFA BOARD OFFICERS

Ariel Roughton —President
Jason Wells—Vice President
Joe Starr—Treasurer
Gwendolyn Ozard —Secretary

COAST DISTRICT

Matthew Bissell
Mark Pugsley
Jason Wells
Jeremy Wright

NORTHERN DISTRICT

George Gentry
Rob Little
Gwendolyn Ozard
Joe Starr

SOUTHERN DISTRICT

Christopher Dow—Past President
Ariel Roughton
Ricky Shurtz