



**CALIFORNIA
LICENSED
FORESTERS
ASSOCIATION**

*The Voice of
California's
Licensed
Foresters*

Inside this issue:

Presidents Message
Legislation
Forest Practice
Water & Wildlife
Licensing
Forestry Challenge
Calendar 2020/2021

Attachments:

- ◆ Spring Conference—
Save the Date
- ◆ 2021ARC Refreshers
- ◆ Scholarship Applications
- ◆ RPF Exam Application

CLFA

2149 Carson Mesa Road
Acton, CA 93510
Phone: 916.913.9970
Cell: 661.789.7683
Fax: 661.269.5513

Email:

Kathleen Burr — admin@clfa.org
Ariel Roughton —
president@clfa.org

www.clfa.org

California Licensed Foresters Association

January 2021 - Newsletter

President's Message Ariel Roughton, CLFA President

Over the last few months I have observed multiple instances, both in public and private settings, in which *foresters* and *forestry* have been misunderstood and misrepresented. This is nothing new and something that we are all aware of. For instance, during my graduation ceremony a student speaker attributed the forestry majors of our college to be our nation's future park rangers. This sent an immediate wave of distaste through my class of foresters; Not because there is anything wrong with becoming a park ranger, but rather because a peer with whom we had shared classes had misconstrued what a forestry degree leads to.

Although the comment was made with the best of intentions it resonates with me to today. It speaks to a degree of anonymity and misrepresentation in the eyes of the public that, intended or not, our profession has, in part, cultivated over time. Part of me enjoys the satisfaction that comes from humbly and unassumingly stewarding forest land, but part of me laments the fact that the vast majority of people who utilize natural resources misunderstand the profession that plays such an integral role in how those resources are managed. We cannot expect that understanding to change without action and adaptation on our part.

Foresters are expected to examine the big picture considering all management alternatives and their implications. We must understand not only the physiology and wood quality of trees, but also the soil and microbes under their roots, the wildlife dependent upon them, the water coursing through them, and so much more. These skills are not easily come by and are developed through years of education and training that is not always understood and appreciated. Whether we attended an accredited forestry program followed by years of experience, or spent seven years building our knowledge in the field, we all have proved these qualifications through our license. Our education cannot and does not end here.

In order to stay relevant and successful as a practicing forester in California we must continue to educate ourselves and grow. While there may not be a continuing education requirement for our license, there is a requirement to be an effective forester. We are all too aware that, similar to trees, in the absence of growth there is only senescence; As the forest adapts to changing climates, so too must the trees within it. Our profession is one that is based in science and, like all other scientific fields, is constantly evolving. As foresters we must remain engaged in this evolution by assessing new technologies and changing pressures, and consider how they will interact with the forests we manage and the resources we are charged with protecting.

While at times overlooked or misunderstood, we are without a doubt the most qualified professionals to address the unprecedented crises facing the forested lands in California. As forest management is pushed into the public's view we have the opportunity to correct these misunderstandings and represent foresters as the experts we all know them to be. To effectively achieve this, it will be vital that we continue to advocate for our profession while ensuring that we show up to the conversation engaged and knowledgeable.

Growth in our field is not limited to scientific principles which guide our management decisions. In a very real sense, we must continue to grow the profession in terms of the number of professionals who are practicing forestry in California. To this end, CLFA will continue its efforts to broaden our professional capacity. This year we were happy to be able to provide support for a mentorship pilot-program with UC, fostering direct connections between students and resource professionals. This year also brought about a forced learning campaign to understand remote working possibilities. While I'm sure many of us would rather avoid having dozens of Zoom meetings fill our schedules, this leap in technological normalcy will undoubtedly allow us to expand our outreach efforts in ways not yet fully understood.

While we are all happy to see 2020 hit the history books, it's important to recognize that at the end of the day, the New Year is an arbitrary notation on our calendars. The future we want to live in, and to leave for the next generation, will be shaped entirely by the decisions that we make today. To quote an often-used proverb, "*Blessed are those who plant trees under whose shade they will never sit*". My sincere hope for the new year is that its summary will be written in higher spirits, and to find you all in good health and good cheer.

Warm regards and Happy New Year!



Legislation

Joe Starr—Committee Chair

On December 7, 2020 Legislators were sworn in to start the 2021-2022 legislative session and introduced approximately 170 new bills in the process.

Several new bills are focused on Wildfire Prevention and Protection but it is too early on in the process to know how things may shake out and how bills may affect Forestry and Licensed Foresters and more bills are still to be introduced.

The Governor will release his proposed budget on January 10, 2021 which begins a 6-month negotiation process on budget recommendations. Covid-19 will have an effect on the new budget as it did on the last but it is believed there will be a push for funding for wildfire protection and prevention programs.

CLFA will continue to monitor the progress of bills related to natural resources in the coming weeks and will include a full breakdown of bills we will be tracking in 2021 in future newsletters as more unfolds in Sacramento.

Legislative session has adjourned until January 4, 2021 and the deadline to introduce new bills is February 19, 2021.

Happy New Year!



Forest Practice—BOF

Andrea Eggleton—Regulatory Rep to CLFA

The Board of Forestry and Fire Protection met on December 8-9, 2020 via webinar. The next Board meeting is currently scheduled for January 19-20, 2021 and will be held via webinar. The agenda for the January meeting has not yet been published, however the agenda for the December meeting is available at:

<https://bofdata.fire.ca.gov/business/meeting-agendas-and-annual-schedules/>

Important Dates:

- January 5, 2021, 9am-12pm, webinar: Utility and < 3 ac Conversion Exemption rule revisions.
 - Registration link: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/319979186051623695>
 - See additional information in Committee Meeting summary below
- January 15, 2020: Deadline to participate in the BOF's Stakeholder Survey of Forest Management Research Priorities.
 - Participation link: https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/BOF_Research_Priorities
- Feb 5, 2021, webinar: Annual NSO Stakeholder Forum. More information to come.

The following is a summary of items of greatest interest to the RPF community from the December Board of Forestry Meeting:

Joint Committee Meeting Summary

Forest Practice Committee Business

- Forest Botany – [BOF Staff Report](#) and [Draft Problem Statement](#)
 - [Presentation from Aaron Simms, CNPS Rare Plant Biologist](#)
 - Description of the ranking process and detail, including make-up of the regional review groups
 - Scoping – timing and geographic range
 - Rankings within context within CEQA Guidelines 15380
 - Discussion of plant surveys within the CEQA process, including temporal considerations of recurring surveys overtime conducted on forests that are managed on a long-term basis
 - Conversation regarding when and how the ranking system is informational vs. functionally regulatory
 - The committee did not articulate a specific path for how to move forward, but will ask Board Staff to relate the elements of discussion back to the Draft problem statement and then frame additional avenues for moving forward with the issue. Chairman Gilles suggests that the Board Staff should also work with the agencies on this, specifically CAL FIRE.
- This item is being closely monitored by CLFA.

Management Committee Business

1. [Conversion Exemption Revisions](#) 14 CCR 1104 – goal is for this rule package to be in place for January 1, 2022. This generally requires the rule package to be approved by the June BOF meeting in order for the package to go through the public noticing and OAL review periods prior to the end of the year.
 - A workshop on the topic was held in October – summary of issues covered, resolved, and remaining is covered in a [staff report](#).
 - No business on this item was conducted during the meeting.
 - A workshop on the topic is scheduled for January 5, 2021 via webinar from 9 am – 12 pm. Registration link: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/319979186051623695>
- SCHEDULED TOPICS OF DISCUSSION
 - Potential regulatory amendments to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (14 CCR), Division 1.5, Chapter 4, Subchapters 1, and 7, related to <3-acre



Forest Practice—BOF cont.

conversion and public agency and utility right-of-way clearance and maintenance exemptions and timber operations, including:

- <3-acre conversion exemptions within the footprint of existing <3-acre conversion exemptions
- Timber Operations within Watercourse and Lake Protection Zones
- Provisions related to the identification and removal of Danger Trees
- Notification requirements and obligations
- Other related provisions as deemed necessary and appropriate
- This item is being closely monitored by CLFA.

Resource Protection Committee Business

1. City of Auburn Safety Element Review
2. [Introduction to DRAFT Permanent Rulemaking Revisions for Fire Safe Regulations](#) - 14 CCR 1270 et seq.
 - a) Top priority in 2021, a lot of work to be done to comply with legislation
 - b) Discussion of new definitions
 - c) Road clearance and dead end roads
 - d) Discussion of oversight – need to strike the balance between providing a good floor of safety for communities versus providing local flexibility
 - e) Two workshops were held on the topic since the last Board meeting, and an additional workshop will be scheduled in January.

Full Board Meeting

1. Closed Session – the Board accepted the recommendations of the Administrative Law Judge on on Civil Penalty CP-19-02 Aaron Robison
2. Consent Calendar Items – September and November Board Meeting Minutes, [Rulemaking Matrix](#),– approved
3. Monthly Board Reports:
 - a) [CAL FIRE Director's Report](#) – Director Chief Porter
 - i. Emergency Notice Submissions through Nov 30 total 54% more acreage compared to the same period in 2019
 - ii. Still a few active fires as of Dec 9
 - iii. In Nov, COVID cases in CAL FIRE were 10 confirmed positive cases and 48 in self-isolation. Through Dec 8, that has increased to 131 known positives and 321 in ordered or self isolation, for a total of about 450 Department personnel that are not available. 5 Camps are also in quarantine. Believe that infections are occurring outside of work; no evidence of spread within workplace, except for Camps.
 - iv. Seasonal Staffing Outlook
 - Normally would be in Winter Preparedness levels (lower staffing), but weather conditions have prevented seasonal staffing reductions, especially in the Southern region.
 - v. Multiple collaborative projects between CAL FIRE and the USFS have been funded through the Farm Bill on 4 National Forests in the State
 - vi. For reforestation, continuing to try to increase capacity for small landowners, but when we have a large wildfire year like this, there is no way to have enough capacity. Director Porter will ask Chief Eng to prepare a memo on reforestation programs and efforts in response to the wildfires in 2020.
 - b) Executive Officer Report
 - i. CalVTP has a number of projects that are in motion, with the furthest along being the UC Berkeley property at Grouse Ridge.
 - ii. CAL FIRE is currently drafting reports on the second year of Exemption Notice monitoring, which will be presented to the Board in March 2020.
 - iii. SAVE THE DATE: The Annual NSO Stakeholder Forum is scheduled for Feb 5, 2021. It will be conducted via a webinar.
 - iv. Update on the Draft Research Plan



Forest Practice—BOF cont.

1. The Board is required to periodically inventory, assess and report on the State's priorities for forest management research and to suggest needed projects. A survey has been published broadly to solicit stakeholder comment, especially in relation to priority rankings of research topics. The survey is expected to take approximately 10-15 minutes. Please participate in the survey by January 15, 2020!
2. Dr. Bill Stewart of UC Berkeley comments on the Research Plan
 - a. CA Air Resource Board is only looking at positive emissions, while the BOF is required to look at emissions *and* sequestration of forest systems. The BOF needs to connect with the ARB with the very detailed forest carbon reporting that the Board has accomplished in order to harmonize State policies and properly account for the sequestration contributions of CA's forests, especially managed forests.
 - b. In 2020, as much timberland was burned in one year as the last decade. This will have a huge impact on carbon sequestration going forward if these acres don't get reforested properly. Estimated 1 million acres of timberland won't get reforested if the BOF, CAL FIRE, and State in general don't prioritize seed collection, growing, etc.
- v. Draft [BOF Annual Report](#), including summaries of work completed in 2020 and priorities for 2021 business in each committee below:
 1. Draft [Forest Practice Committee Priorities](#)
 - a. Member Wade notes that there is far too much listed here for the committee to be able to accomplish in 2021, especially given the current Joint Committee workshop format being conducted for Covid-19
 2. Draft [Management Committee Priorities](#)
 3. Draft [Resource Protection Committee Priorities](#)
 - a. Correction: this committee did not work on utility conversion exemptions; this will be corrected.
 - b. Member Wheelles echoes Member Wade regarding the listed workload and realistic ability to work through these topics thoroughly
 4. Report to the BOF on [Newly Effective Forest Practice Rules and Suggested Rule Modifications for Consideration](#) from CAL FIRE
 5. [Comment](#) by Richard Gienger
- Vi. Presentation: Hillslope Runoff and Sediment Production After Wildfire and Post-Fire Forest Management on the Boggs Mountain Demonstration State Forest, Lake County: Drew Coe, CAL FIRE and Dr. Joe Wagenbrenner, Research Hydrologist, USFS Pacific Southwest Research Station, Arcata, CA
 1. [Link to published paper](#)
 2. They compared sediment yields eroded from within the 2015 Valley Fire footprint for areas that had been a) burned, b) burned and salvage logged, and c) burned, salvaged logged, and subsoiled. In the second year after the fire, sediment yields were greater in the burned-only areas. By the third year, there were no differences between sediment yields among the three management types.



Water/Wildlife/Botany

Mark Pugsley — Committee Chair

WATER QUALITY

North Coast: <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast>

The Board last met on December 10th & 11th via teleconference. No forestry action items were on the agenda. Minutes are not yet available but the agenda is. Agenda: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/board_info/board_meetings/12_2020/

The 2021 meeting schedule is not yet available.

Central Valley: <https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/>

The Board last met on December 10th via teleconference. No forestry action items were on the agenda. Minutes are not yet available but the agenda is. Agenda: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board_info/meetings/2020/2012ag.pdf

The next meeting is scheduled for February 18th & 19th Via teleconference; the agenda is not yet available.

Lahontan: <https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan/>

The Board last met on November 19th via teleconference. No forestry action items were on the agenda. Minutes are not yet available but the agenda is. Agenda: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan/board_info/agenda/2020/nov/agenda2020nov_v2.pdf

The next meeting is scheduled for January 14th & 15th Via teleconference. Agenda: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan/board_info/agenda/2021/january_2021_agenda_announcement.pdf

Central Coast: <https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/>

The Board last met on December 10th and 11th via teleconference. A discussion of Wildfire Prevention and Recovery Activities Update occurred. Minutes are not yet available but the agenda is Agenda: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/board_info/agendas/2020/dec/index.html

Link to staff report: https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast/board_info/agendas/2020/dec/item14_stfrpt.pdf

The next meeting is scheduled for February 18th and 19th via teleconference; the agenda is not yet available

WILDLIFE

United States Fish and Wildlife Service

The Service is proposing listing the Whitebark Pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) as Threatened under the Endangered Species Act. This proposed listing is primarily caused by the impact of White Pine Blister Rust (*Cronartium ribicola*) along with mountain pine beetle (*Dendroctonus ponderosae*)

, altered wildfire behavior, and climate change being listed as additional stressors on the population. As habitat loss is not being considered a contributing factor to the species decline no Critical Habitat will be designated. Public comment on the proposed listing ends 02/01/2021. Link to Federal Registry: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/12/02/2020-25331/endangered-and-threatened-wildlife-and-plants-threatened-species-status-for-pinus-albicaulis>

The Service along with NOAA Fisheries offered a finalized regulatory definition for “Habitat” under the Endangered Species act which seeks to clear up interpretation of the 2019 regulatory definition. Habitat is now defined as “For the purposes of designating critical habitat only, habitat is the abiotic and biotic setting that currently or periodically contains the resources and conditions necessary to support one or more life processes of a species.” This definition encompasses both occupied and unoccupied habitat. Link to Federal Registry: https://www.fws.gov/news/ShowNews.cfm?ref=u.s.-fish-and-wildlife-service-and-noaa-fisheries-finalize-regulatory-&_ID=36816



Water/Wildlife/Botany cont.

The Service has decided that the listing of the Monarch Butterfly (*Danaus plexippus*) as Threatened or Endangered under the Endangered Species Act is warranted but precluded by higher priority actions. As a result, the Monarch candidacy for listing will be reviewed every year until it is no longer a candidate. Stressors of the Monarch Butterfly population include habitat loss, climate change, and pesticide exposure. Link to news release: https://www.fws.gov/news/ShowNews.cfm?ref=u.s.-fish-and-wildlife-service-finds-endangered-species-act-listing-for-&_ID=36817

The Service has decided that changing the listing of the Northern Spotted Owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) from Threatened to Endangered under the Endangered Species Act is warranted but precluded by higher priority actions. See official news release below:

Northern Spotted Owl's Threatened Status to Remain Unchanged

Conservation, timber regulations won't change under 'warranted but precluded' decision December 14, 2020

Contact: Jodie Delavan, 503-231-6984 or jodie_delavan@fws.gov

PORTLAND, Ore. — The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service announced today a “warranted but precluded” finding for the northern spotted owl. The decision means that a reclassification from threatened to endangered is warranted, but the Service will not take any new actions at this time because listing as endangered is precluded by higher priority actions.

This decision is based on a rigorous scientific report that was peer reviewed by academic, industry and resource agency experts. The primary threat to the survival of the northern spotted owl is competition from the aggressive and invasive barred owl, while ongoing habitat loss, primarily from wildfire, constitutes an additional threat.

A change in status from threatened to endangered would not result in any additional regulatory restrictions under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), nor substantively impact the conservation of spotted owls.

“Ongoing research tells us that northern spotted owls are in danger of disappearing in large parts of their range,” said Robyn Thorson, Regional Director for the Service’s Columbia-Pacific Northwest Region. “Habitat conservation measures on federal lands have been working well, but increased competition from the invasive barred owl has severely impacted spotted owl populations. We’re committed to continue working closely with federal and state agencies, timber interests, and other stakeholders to conserve the spotted owl and support sustainable timber harvest and rural communities.”

Through collaboration with the U.S. Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management under the Northwest Forest Plan, the Service has successfully managed timber harvest on federal lands to conserve northern spotted owl habitat since 1994. However, loss of habitat from wildfire is ongoing, and the threat from the barred owls has greatly intensified. Barred owls have increased their range during recent years, encroaching on spotted owl territories and out-competing the smaller, less-aggressive spotted owl.

An experiment was initiated in 2013 to test whether the removal of barred owls from northern spotted owl habitat is a feasible management tool to conserve populations of spotted owl; preliminary results of that experiment have been promising, though final results are still a few years away.

For more than 20 years, federal and state agencies, communities and industry have collaborated to protect habitat for the owl. As a result of this hard work, the Service has avoided needing to list other species, including the Siskiyou Mountain salamander.

The Service endeavors to balance essential protections for the owl with critical economic activity provided by the timber industry in the Pacific Northwest and northern California. The Service will continue to collaborate with federal agencies and partners on active forest management projects that promote forest health, support jobs and sustain local communities while protecting owls and other forest species. Consultation and coordination with other federal agencies will proceed under streamlined consultation procedures that result in reduced timeframes and redundancies.

The reclassifying the northern spotted owl will be included in the Service’s National Listing Workplan, which established prioritized workload for listing decisions based on the needs of the species and our review of scientific information. The warranted but precluded finding will publish in the Federal Register on December 15, 2020. For more information on the northern spotted owl, visit <https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1123>.

Link to Federal Registry: <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2020/12/15/2020-27198/endangered-and-threatened-wildlife-and-plants-12-month-finding-for-the-northern-spotted-owl>



Licensing

Robert Little—Committee Chair

On December 29, 2020, I met with Dan Stapleton, Assistant Executive Officer, Foresters Licensing. We discussed the following topics:

RPF Exam Preparation Seminar for 2021: The Exam Prep seminar is scheduled for February 2, 2021. The presentation format will be remotely supported, and a physical meeting may be planned at the Gaia in Anderson. The full logistics and details of a physical meeting are still being developed amidst the changing Covid-19 protocols and State guidance. CLFA will provide updates as soon as possible and we appreciate your patience during this time.

RPF Licensing: The current status of RPF and CRM licenses is available in the most recent Licensing news.

<https://bof.fire.ca.gov/media/xjidnznu/licensing-news-winter-2020-ada.pdf>

During our meeting, Dan passed on an interesting statistic that 47% of the nearly 1100 valid RPF licenses are held by individuals who have been practicing for 30 years or more. In the next couple years, the profession is expected to see a decline in valid licenses as individuals “age-out” and retire. Acknowledging this coupled to the increasing demand for RPFs within both private and State service, we should all consider how to increase the interest in California Forestry and bring young professionals into the ranks of Registered Professional Foresters. Several CLFA members are working with the Board to explore expanding pathways to gain the required experience needed to meet requirements for taking the RPF Exam, thus securing the pathway to Licensing. If you are interested in participating in this “ad-hoc” committee please contact me for further information. *Robert Little, stormbirdsbase@gmail.com*

Professional Foresters Examining Committee PFEC. The January 2021 Professional Foresters Examining Committee (PFEC) meeting will be hosted via teleconference. Registration links for these meetings and the agenda items are available on the Board of Forestry and Fire Protection website (<https://bof.fire.ca.gov/>).

Work from October on the Professional Foresters Registration Program Policies of the Board will be continued during the meeting. The purpose of this work to finalize policy will make language consistent with definitions and processes, and clearly describe regulation requirements.

The current composition of the PFEC contains several vacancies for members representing private industry, and government entities. During our meeting Dan expressed interest in filling these vacancies with members that represent a diverse cross section of our profession. You don’t necessarily need to be an RPF to participate in the PFEC. If you are interested, or want to understand the roles and responsibilities of the PFEC contact: *Deniele Cade, deniele.cade@bof.ca.gov* or *Dan Stapleton at: dan.stapleton@bof.ca.gov*

Happy New Year!



Forestry Challenge Update

Diane Dealey Neill

Although it sometimes felt like the movie “Groundhog Day”, with our focus topic repeating for five sessions over a six-week period, the 2020 Virtual Forestry Challenge is officially in the bag. Our participation totals were about equivalent to two in-person events, about half of a normal in-person fall event season:



The event season culminated with a virtual awards ceremony on December 19, with a 100-person capacity attendance. After introducing the schools, we heard from Redwood Forest Foundation Inc. (RFFI) Board President Mark Welther, Chief Forester Linwood Gill, and Operations Manager Jeff Houser. The RFFI Board has invited a few of the top teams to present their recommendations for managing the mixed hardwood conifer stands at Usal Forest at their January 27 board meeting.

Special awards were presented to the volunteer of the year and teacher of the year:



The ceremony wrapped up with an announcement of the top ten teams (250 possible points):

Place	Score	School and Team #	Session
1	233.25	Vista del Lago High School Team 1	5
2	231.75	Foresthill High School Team 1	3
3	225.75	Charter Oak High School Team 1	2
4	224.75	Woodside High School Team 2	4
5	222.25	Granada Hills Charter High School	3
6	217.75	Vista del Lago High School Team 5	3
7	215.25	John W. North High School Team 8	4
8	207.25	Amador High School	1
9	201.5	Foresthill High School Team 2	3
10	197.0	Citrus Hill High School Team 4	3

In February we will evaluate the feasibility of an in-person invitational event on April 15-17 in Tuolumne County. Regardless, we are hopeful that in-person events will resume in the fall.

Thank you to CLFA members who volunteered for Ask a Forester and presentation judging. Largely because of your support, we were able to conduct the Forestry Challenge in 2020.



Scholarship Donations

Thank you to the following members who made donations to the CLFA supported scholarships during the month of December 2020.

CLFA Scholarship

- ◇ Brian Rueger
- ◇ Pamela Linstedt
- ◇ Doug Leisz
- ◇ Charll Stoneman
- ◇ Christopher Carroll
- ◇ Brian Brown
- ◇ Ruth Norman
- ◇ Joseph Culver
- ◇ Tyler Hulquist
- ◇ Pete Ribar

Hazel Jackson Scholarship

- ◇ Otto P. Van Emmerik
- ◇ Lois Kaufman
- ◇ Ruth Norman

Roy Richards Scholarship

- ◇ Gaylord Briggs
- ◇ Brian Brown
- ◇ Len Lindstrand
- ◇ Ruth Norman

Howard Biswell Scholarship

- ◇ Brian Brown
- ◇ Ruth Norman
- ◇ Len Nielson

California Forestry Challenge

- ◇ Charll Stoneman
- ◇ Ruth Norman
- ◇ Len Nielson



2020 CLFA BOARD MEETING WORKSHOP/CONFERENCE SCHEDULE

BOARD MEETINGS

2021

- ◆ January 23, 2021—9:00 am—2:00 pm— GoToMee4ting
- ◆ February 23, 2021—6:00 pm—8:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ March 4, 2021—11:00 am—4:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ April 20, 2021—6:00 pm—8:00 pm—GoToMeeting
- ◆ May 21, 2021—6:00 pm—CLFA Audit
- ◆ May 22, 2021—9:00 am—2:00 pm—TBD

CLFA WORKSHOPS / CONFERENCES

2021

- ◆ February 2, 2021—RPF Licensing Prep Class—Will be Virtual
- ◆ March 5, 2021—Spring Workshop — Will be Virtual
- ◆ **March 29—April 2, 2021—5-day Initial Archaeology —Class is full—class held in person**
- ◆ May 5 & 6, 2021—Archaeology Refreshers, Gaia Hotel & Spa, Anderson, CA
- ◆ **October 4-8, 2021—5-day Initial Archaeology—Class is full - Waiting list is available only**
- ◆ November 10 & 11, 2021—Archaeology Refreshers, Gaia Hotel & Spa, Anderson, CA

Brochures and registration for all workshops & conferences will be accessible on the CLFA website

2020/2021 CLFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

CLFA BOARD OFFICERS

Ariel Roughton —President
Jason Wells—Vice President
Joe Starr—Treasurer
Gwendolyn Ozard —Secretary

COAST DISTRICT

Matthew Bissell
Mark Pugsley
Jason Wells
Jeremy Wright

NORTHERN DISTRICT

George Gentry
Rob Little
Gwendolyn Ozard
Joe Starr

SOUTHERN DISTRICT

Christopher Dow—Past President
Ariel Roughton
Ricky Shurtz