



CALIFORNIA  
LICENSED  
FORESTERS  
ASSOCIATION

*The Voice of  
California's  
Licensed  
Foresters*

### **Inside this issue:**

Presidents Msg.	1
Forest Practice	2
Legislation	5
Water/Wildlife	7
Outreach	9
Calendar	10
Licensing Prep Class	11
Attached—Fall Field Day Info	

**CLFA**  
2149 Carson Mesa Road  
Acton, CA 93510  
Phone: 916.913.9970  
Cell: 661.789.7683  
Fax: 661.269.5513  
Email: [clfa@volcano.net](mailto:clfa@volcano.net)

[www.clfa.org](http://www.clfa.org)

# California Licensed Foresters Association

October 2018 - Newsletter

## President's Message Harlan Tranmer, CLFA President

This is a great time to teach people about silviculture. You and I understand it but the rhetoric getting out to the public often ignores basic silvicultural principles. I attempted to articulate some of those principles below. One example of such rhetoric is from a newly formed non-governmental organization that is trying to provide solutions to uncontrolled forest fires in the western US. Presently, their trajectory is misguided in my opinion so I organized my thoughts on the issue.

Low thinning, more often called thinning from below these days, is a thinning method that is gaining popularity with the public to reduce fuel and break up its continuity. Is it effective for fire hazard reduction? It can be. Is it sustainable? If low thinning is the only silvicultural prescription available for each cutting cycle and the goal is to sustain a forest of large trees and little to no regeneration then, yes, to some degree it is. However, you will run out of understory trees to harvest and those large trees won't live forever. If the goal is sustained yield of wood products then, no, it is not sustainable.

Low thinning can serve different purposes. It is the oldest thinning method, developed at a time when fuel wood for heating and cooking was in demand. Suppressed or even dead trees could be utilized in this way. When small logs are not in demand, low thinning is best performed later in the rotation when the trees are of merchantable size (as discussed in *The Practice of Silviculture*, Smith et al., 1997). In an evenaged timber stand, low thinning is an important intermediate treatment. In an unevenaged timber stand, this treatment will result in missing a future cutting cycle as a younger cohort will have been removed. Low thinning is also used in "restoration harvesting" which seeks to mimic the self-thinning that would occur if the stand was untouched. This is a means to an end for commercial harvesting.

If low thinning is the only prescription allowed for large acreages, we will eventually run out of supply and the industry will dry up. I fear that this knee-jerk reaction to wildfire will have dire consequences for our future forest product resource. If we mess it up now, it will take decades to get the forest back on track.

Many people think in the present when it comes to forests and when they think about the future,



it's in the context of their own lives. In the back of their minds, they know trees grow but it happens so slowly that they discount it. Foresters don't count on being around to see the effects of their silviculture. We try to do what's right and imagine how great it will be whether we are here to see it or not. In the words of one of my colleagues; "Don't kill your calves!"



# FOREST PRACTICE COMMITTEE

Chris Dow—Committee Chair

## **Plan Submissions (through 08/31/2018)**

- **THP submissions:** The number of THP submissions through August 2018, was approximately 15% higher than August 2017 (157 compared to 137 in 2017).
- **NTMP submissions:** The total number of NTMP submissions for August 2018 was 50% higher than August 2017 (15 compared to 10 in 2017). One-hundred thirteen (113) NTOs were submitted in August 2018 compared to one-hundred sixteen (116) for August 2017.
- **Exemption Notice submissions:** Exemption submissions were approximately 18% lower compared to August last year (1,393 compared to 1,692 in 2017). The number of Drought Mortality Exemptions filed through August this year was approximately 71% lower than last year (169 compared to 587 in 2017); the acreage covered was approximately 70% lower (20,948 acres compared to 69,334 acres in 2017).
- **Emergency Notice submissions:** Emergency Notice submissions were significantly higher in August 2018 than August 2017 (155 compared to 55 in 2017). Likewise, the amount of acreage under Emergencies increased by approximately 90% over August 2017 (13,710 acres compared to 7,207 acres in 2017).
- **Plan Approval:** The number of THPs approved in August 2018 was approximately 28% higher than the number approved in August 2017 (177 compared to 138 in 2017). The number of NTMPs approved in August 2018 was nearly identical to the number approved in August 2017 (9 compared to 10 in 2017).

## **Watershed Protection Program Update**

Watershed Protection Program staff have participated in both the Carr and Holy Fire Watershed Emergency Response Team (WERT) efforts. Reports from both WERT deployments are in Executive Review. Staff worked closely with US Forest Service Burn Area Emergency Rehabilitation (BAER) teams on these post-fire assessments. Numerous high risk debris flow situations threatening both lives and property were documented in Riverside County between the City of Lake Elsinore and Corona associated with the Holy Fire.

The remaining Watercourse Crossing Design Workshops that were postponed in Willits and Korbel due to the Carr and Mendocino Complex fires have been rescheduled. Dates will be announced shortly for the remaining sessions.

## **Exemption and Emergency Notice Monitoring Progress Report**

### **Key Principle of the Pilot Study:**

- Compliance and implementation addressed using FP Inspector Reports
- Focus on effectiveness and post treatment outcomes
- 89% participation from Review Team agencies (multi-agency participation) as well as many different landowners
- 50 Randomly Selected – 44 operated on, 6 no operations. 38 1038(k), 3 1038(j), 3 1052 Emergency
- 70% less than or equal to 20 acres for harvested notices

### **Future Directions:**

- Complete analysis of pilot data
- Incorporate case studies (1038(b))
- Solicit general and specific monitoring questions from Board members, Review Team agencies and stakeholders
- Explore further use of remote sensing to answer broader scale effectiveness questions
- Sediment Delivery: A question from the Board, where were the sampling points to monitor sediment delivery... It was found mostly at crossings, but was not very defined due to the rapid assessment process, and it will be researched to find a way to report what the mechanism of the discharge was.



## FOREST PRACTICE COMMITTEE cont.

### **SB 901**

- There will be a wholesale rewrite of the 14 CCR 1038 process with consistency and a focus on including small landowners.
- More details next month. Addressing 4584.1 (the most pertinent part of SB 901 to Forestry Regulation) – Provisions in the bill for providing consistency between exemptions are expected to address 10-12 pieces that the Forest Practice Committee and the Board will have to take up and apply to each exemption.
- It was noted that it may be fairly difficult to address these changes with regulatory text, emergency regulations are likely the first step in the process. No emergency clause would be allowed, so nothing can be done until January 1, 2019, which would provide some time to develop plans on how to address these.

### **NSO**

- The Barred Owl Science Team is currently developing a report on barred owl research, but needs a prioritization document.
- Summarizes the threat of barred owls to spotted owls. Describes 3 high priority research needs as well as 6 associated research needs.
- Sierras are starting to see a low background level of barred owls, which rapidly could develop into the higher densities seen in the NSO territory. Experimental removals should begin immediately to prevent the exponential growth rate that is seen in NSO territory that has no removal.

### **NSO FPR Reform:**

**Option 1** – Update and revise all NSO Forest Practice Rules

**Option 2** – Revise definitions to be in accordance with ESA

**Option 3** – Delete all rules and create general rules for all species that fall under CESA and ESA

A poll of stakeholders found that there was no consensus from all stakeholders involved, it was rather found that each stakeholder including small, and large landowners, as well as agencies, had their own idea on how to proceed.

### **Technical Rule Addendum No. 6 Outline and Discussion**

Between Option 1 as described above, and a new Technical Rule Addendum #6, is the perpetuation of differences in the definition of Activity Center (AC), and it was assumed that the definition will need to be revisited and redefined.

The definition that “Habitat protection should both optimize and maximize the retention of desirable elements for NSO” was brought to the committee. They agreed that this is not a clear definition of what is intended or desired to meet this idea, and it will need to be further refined.

From public comment it was suggested to look into how landowners could manage habitat to create good habitat for NSO, not just look for a way to protect the activity center, which may be somewhat migratory.

### **Feller-bunchers within WLPZ's**

Discussing whether to use the BMP process to allow as an in lieu practice that is more accepted by agencies overseeing Plan approval, or adopt regulation that would allow for the use of feller bunchers within WLPZ's as a regulatory rule. CLFA will be providing comment on this, specifically advocating for regulatory rule changes that would be similar to those for equipment use based on slope, and erosion hazard rating, as well as silviculture type.



## FOREST PRACTICE COMMITTEE cont.

### Management Committee Meeting

#### **SB 901 and changes to NTMP**

NTMP is not changed to “a nonindustrial timber management plan may include multiple non-industrial tree farmers, but shall not cover more than 2500 acres” until at least January 1, 2019.

There are existing NTMP’s that are more than 2500 acres total, and have multiple landowners. The Dodd Bill (SB 901) caps the total for all landowners included, and so there will not be anymore NTMP’s over 2500 acres, despite number of landowners.

The definition of a “Designated Agent” is being discussed to make sure that it does not conflict with any other rule text. It is now read as: Designated Agent – solution to multiple ownership control issues and reports to the Review Team. It provides a single point of contact for filing all reports, changes or alterations to the plan, responding to violations or issues of noncompliance, etc. In some cases the landowner, or designee, may accomplish some of the noted tasks.

Until January 1, 2019, no emergency rule regulation will be allowed to move forward, this includes multiple landowners, allowance of exemptions on NTMP’s etc. The discussion can occur and be prepared for, but it is likely that the 45 day rule text etc. may not be brought to light until March or April.

#### **Exemptions and Emergencies**

Consideration is being given to making the framework for the Emergency Declaration, as well as emergency and exemptions for these declarations, by the Board, to consider a permanent rule that would allow these emergency declarations for homeowners to be expedited by a permanent rule. The focus is on providing the relief to the homeowners to expedite their ability to remove the woody material in order to respond following wildfire, especially given the process of insurance claims. A permanent rule would not go into effect until January 1st, 2020. Evaluate why it has only been used 13 times.





# Legislation

Jason Wells—Committee Chair

After August’s historic legislative session, Governor Brown has finally had a chance to sign, or veto, all of the bills that the CLFA was tracking this year. The list below gives a recap of the bills that CLFA tracked, or gave input for, this legislative year and their status.

Bill#	Author	Status	Comments	Position
<a href="#">AB 425</a>	Caballero (D)	<b>Held on Senate Floor</b>	Would have clarified THP exemption and construction of temporary roads of 40% or less if certain conditions are met. (Note: SB 901 covers the temporary roads issue making AB 425 unnecessary)	Support
<a href="#">SB 901</a>	Dodd (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 207</b>	Omnibus wildfire prevention and preparation bill to address forest management and utility mitigation plans, including forest management exemptions for small landowners (less than 60 acres on Coast and less than 100 acres inland); allows cutting of trees with 32-inch stump diameter; clarifies multiple landowner provisions of WFMPs and NTMPs; and provides \$1 billion of GGRF dollars for forest management	Support
<a href="#">AB 1954</a>	Patterson (R)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 207</b>	Extends exemption for clearing around homes and buildings. (Note: SB 901 also clarifies existing requirements)	Support
<a href="#">AB 1956</a>	Limon (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 632</b>	Grant program for fuels management	Support
<a href="#">AB 2518</a>	Aguiar-Curry (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 637</b>	Forest Products and Mass Timber Production	Support
<a href="#">AB 2889</a>	Caballero (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 640</b>	Establishes a THP bill of rights to ensure uniform and efficient implementation, filing, review, and approval of THPs	Support
<a href="#">SB 1260</a>	Jackson (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 624</b>	Omnibus fire prevention and forestry management bill with provisions to encourage prescribed burns, including some clarifications to reduce liability issues associated with prescribed burns	Support
<a href="#">AB 2091</a>	Grayson (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 634</b>	Requires Forest Management Task Force to develop recommendations for implementing an insurance pool to assist prescribed burn managers	Support
<a href="#">AB 2551</a>	Wood (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 638</b>	Authorizes Cal FIRE to enter into prescribed burn cooperation agreements and requires Cal FIRE to provide advances to landowners for Cal Fire's cost share of work using CFIP funds	Support



## Legislation cont.

Bill#	Author	Status	Comments	Position
<a href="#">SB 1079</a>	Monning (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 622</b>	Allows Cal FIRE to provide grant funding until January 1, 2024, for forest management and improving forest health, including authorization to provide advances to landowners	Support
<a href="#">SB 1453</a>	McGuire (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 796</b>	Extends the relevant statute of limitations from violations of Forest Practice Rules from one year to three years	Support
<a href="#">AB 2627</a>	Kalra (D)	<b>Held in Senate Fiscal Committee</b>	Would have changed rules surrounding bird management with respect migratory birds which could subject landowners to unknown liability by requiring unspecified and so-called “best management practices”	Oppose
<a href="#">AB 2528</a>	Bloom (D)	<b>VETOED</b>	Requires identification, study and understanding of “habitat resilience areas” as well as the protection and enhancement of “salmonid strongholds.” Suggested amendments from landowner coalition were rejected by sponsors	Oppose
<a href="#">SB 1414</a>	Beall (D)	<b>Held in Senate Fiscal Committee</b>	Would have usurped current state law by allowing a single open space district to effectively veto a THP or other permit prepared by an RPF and approved by the review team and the BOF.	Oppose
<a href="#">SB 473</a>	Hertzberg (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 329</b>	Requires listing of endangered or threatened species by the FGC to be based solely upon the best available scientific information; adds flexibility to private landowners by adding a voluntary program for declining and vulnerable species	Neutral
<a href="#">AB 2975</a>	Friedman (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 221</b>	Requires Secretary of Natural Resources to determine in certain circumstances, whether the state should protect a river or segment of a river that has lost, or will lose protection under the federal wild and scenic rivers system; amendments were included in the bill to address concerns raised by opposition to provide a sunset date of December 31, 2025	Neutral
<a href="#">SB 100</a>	De Leon (D)	<b>Signed by Governor, Chapter 312</b>	Increases the amount of renewable energy utilities must procure by 2030, up to 60 percent from the current target of 50 percent; also requires utilities to pursue 100 percent clean energy by 2045, using “zero-carbon” resources which could include a mix of renewables such as hydroelectric power, biomass, and geothermal	Watch



## Water & Wildlife

Elicia Goldsworthy —Committee Chair

### WATER QUALITY

**North Coast:** <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast>

The Board last met on September 6 in Santa Rosa; there were no forestry items on the agenda. The next Board meeting is scheduled for November 14-15 in Santa Rosa; the agenda is not yet available.

Refer to the Executive Officers report for an update on the Elk River Recovery Assessment and other future Water Board agenda items:

[https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/board\\_info/board\\_meetings/09\\_2018/pdf/12/180817\\_EOReport\\_Final.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/northcoast/board_info/board_meetings/09_2018/pdf/12/180817_EOReport_Final.pdf)

**Central Valley:** <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley>

The Board met August 2 in Rancho Cordova; no forestry topics were discussed. The next meeting will be held October 4-5 in Redding where an overview of relevant forest health initiatives (i.e. EO B-52-18, SB 901, and AB 2551) will be an informational item for Board discussion. [https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board\\_decisions/tentative\\_orders/1810/07\\_forestfire\\_info\\_buff.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board_decisions/tentative_orders/1810/07_forestfire_info_buff.pdf)

Refer to the Executive Officers report for a list of ongoing grants funded by the Timber Regulation and Forest Restoration Fund (AB 1492).

[https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board\\_info/exec\\_officer\\_reports/1810eo.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/board_info/exec_officer_reports/1810eo.pdf)

**Lahontan:** <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/lahontan>

The Board met on September 12-13 in South Lake Tahoe; no specific forestry topics were on the agenda. The next meeting is scheduled for Nov. 14-15 in Apple Valley; the agenda is not yet available.

**Central Coast:** <http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralcoast>

The Board met September 20-21 in Watsonville; there were no forestry items on the agenda. The next meeting is scheduled for Nov. 8-9 in San Luis Obispo; the agenda is not available.

### WILDLIFE

**The Fish and Game Commission:** <http://www.fgc.ca.gov/meetings/2018/index.aspx>

The FGC met in Fortuna on August 22-23. Topics related to forestry included listing of the Humboldt marten and Lassics Lupine as State Endangered. The next meeting will be held in Region 4 (Fresno) on October 17; the agenda is not yet available.

**Humboldt Marten (*Martes caurina humboldtensis*) – no new information**

The Fish and Game Commission, in their August meeting, determined that the information contained in the petition to list Humboldt marten (*Martes caurina humboldtensis*) and other information in the record before the Commission, warrants listing Humboldt marten as an endangered species under the California Endangered Species Act. The Commission voted unanimously to add Humboldt marten the list of endangered species in California; the findings will be adopted at a future meeting.



## Water & Wildlife cont.

### **Upper Klamath-Trinity River (UKTR) Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) Evolutionarily Significant Unit (ESU) – no new information**

The Fish and Game Commission received petition to list the UKTR spring run Chinook salmon ESU as endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA) on July 23, 2018. The FGC transmitted the petition to CDFW for review and will receive the petition at its next meeting on October 17 in Fresno. For more information or to submit comment: <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=160872&inline> National Marine Fisheries Service is also conducting a status review to address the petition to list the UKTR spring run Chinook salmon ESU as threatened or endangered under the Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA). It is anticipated that the 90-day finding will be published in the Federal Register in early February 2019.

### **Foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylei*) – no new information**

CDFW report to FCG due January 7, 2019 (18 months from the date the candidacy filing were published). The FGC will consider the petition, DFW's evaluation and other information submitted to determine if listing is warranted at its April 2019 meeting.

A general guidance document for CDFW staff, project proponents and consultants is available online: *Considerations for Conserving the Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog* <https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=157562&inline>

### **Mountain Yellow-Legged Frog (*Rana muscosa*) – no new information**

The USFWS has announced that the *Endangered Mountain Yellow-legged Frog Draft Recovery Plan* is available for public comment. The document can be viewed at <https://go.usa.gov/xUNec>.

### **Western Pond Turtle (*Actinemys marmorata*) – no new information**

Listing status is currently under review with the USFWS. An initial working group meeting has been scheduled to determine population status and conservation needs. Updates will be provided as they become available.

### **Eureka Times Standard**

Judge: Pacific fisher must be reconsidered for protection/Ruling Monday means reconsideration of Endangered Species status/By Philip Santos

A ruling Monday determined the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service must reconsider the denial of Endangered Species Act protection for Pacific fishers.

<https://www.times-standard.com/2018/09/25/judge-pacific-fisher-must-be-reconsidered-for-protection/>





## OUTREACH

Chris Dow, Committee Chair

This year, the CLFA Board of Director's offered a new scholarship, focusing on entry level students and promoting the advancement of our Outreach opportunities to students just starting their forestry careers. The Harold H. Biswell Scholarship received great response, with very competitive answers. Due to this, the Board decided to award two of these scholarships. Adam Taylor, who is attending UC Berkeley, and Hanna Upton, attending Humboldt State, both received a \$1000 dollar scholarship to aid in their first year in a forestry program.

The Board supports the continuation of programs and scholarships that will promote and increase the interest of all students in the forestry and natural resources program. Congratulations to both of you, and we hope to see your continued participation with CLFA.

This year marked the 21<sup>st</sup> annual **Watershed Education Summit**. Students participated in a natural resources program focusing on several watershed and forest types found in El Dorado County. I was very happy to be involved in the program for my second year, and was glad to see such a large group of students and teachers coming together to promote forestry and natural resources. Coming soon to a forest near you are the Forestry Challenge events. If you have not volunteered, or are a perennial attendee, these events are rewarding to those that volunteer their time, and just as rewarding for the students involved. Please look up your local event and help promote our profession!





## 2018/2019 CLFA BOARD MEETING WORKSHOP/CONFERENCE SCHEDULE

### Board Meetings

- ◆ October 16, 2018—GoToMeeting
- ◆ November 8, 2018— Board Meeting @ Blodgett
- ◆ December—Dark
- ◆ January 19, 2019—Board Meeting @ Granzellas
- ◆ February 19, 2019—GoToMeeting
- ◆ March 7, 2019—Board Meeting @ Gaia in Anderson
- ◆ April 16, 2019—GoToMeeting
- ◆ May 17, 2019—Audit Committee @ Granzellas
- ◆ May 18, 2019—Board Meeting @ Granzellas

### CLFA WORKSHOPS / CONFERENCES

- ◆ October 22—26, 2018—5-day Initial Archaeology Class, Gaia, Anderson—**CLASS IS FULL**
- ◆ November 2, 2018—Coast Field Day—Green Diamond—Stocking Standards
- ◆ November 9, 2018—North Field Day—Blodgett—Stocking Standards
- ◆ November 14 & 15, 2018, Archaeology Refresher—Granzellas, Williams
- ◆ November 26, 2018 - Prep Class—Granzellas, Williams
- ◆ January 18, 2019—Annual Archaeology with CLFA & CAL FIRE—Granzellas, Williams
- ◆ February 21, 2018—Legislative meeting in Sacramento
  - ◆ This will replace the legislative meeting normally held in March at the annual meeting
- ◆ February 25—March 1, 2019—5-day Initial Archaeology Class, Gaia, Anderson— **CLASS IS FULL**
- ◆ March 8 & 9, 2019—Annual Workshop, Annual Conference, & Business Meeting, Gaia, Anderson

### *2018/2019 CLFA BOARD OF DIRECTORS*

#### **CLFA BOARD OFFICERS**

Harlan Tranmer, President  
Chris Dow, Vice President  
Jason Wells, Secretary-Treasurer

#### **COAST DISTRICT**

Elicia Goldsworthy  
Tony Gomez  
Harlan Tranmer  
Jason Wells

#### **NORTHERN DISTRICT**

Sara Taddo Jones  
Emil Mason  
Kieran O'Leary  
Ariel Thomson  
Alex Stone  
Paul Violett

#### **SOUTHERN DISTRICT**

Christopher Dow  
Ron Hutchinson  
Ricky Shurtz

Kathleen Burr, Executive Director



## CLFA RPF EXAM PREPARATION SEMINAR

Monday, November 26, 2018  
Granzella's Conference Room  
391 6<sup>th</sup> Street, Williams, CA

### Instructors:

Dan Stapleton – RPF #2707 – Assistant Executive Officer, Board of Forestry  
George “YG” Gentry – RPF #2262 – Vice President Regulatory Affairs, California Forestry Assoc.  
Len Lindstrand – RPF #624 – Retired Land Department Manager, WM Beaty and Assoc., Exam Grader

### AGENDA

8:30 - 9:00 AM	REGISTRATION
9:00 - 9:10 AM	OPENING REMARKS/INTRODUCTIONS
9:10 - 9:30 AM	FORESTERS LICENSING <b>Brief History and Organization of Foresters Licensing Qualifications for Licensing The Application Process The Examination: Format, Grading &amp; Strategies</b>
9:30 - 10:00 AM	STRATEGY FOR PREPARATION AND STUDY <b>How to Prepare, Prioritizing Study Time, Study Methods</b>
10:00 - 10:15 AM	BREAK
10:15 - 11:00 AM	REVIEW OF TEST MATERIAL REFERENCES <b>Instructors Present Favorite Study Material</b>
11:00 – 12:00 PM	STRATEGY FOR ANSWERING QUESTIONS <b>Selection of Questions to Answer, Budgeting Your Time, Outline the Answer, Write a Concise Essay Format Answer</b>
12:00 - 1:00 PM	LUNCH
1:00 - 1:30 PM	GRADING PROCESS <b>Answer Evaluation, Grader Conferencing, Appeal Process</b>
1:30 – 2:15 PM	EXAMPLE QUESTION (SHORT ANSWER)
2:15 - 2:30 PM	BREAK
2:30 - 3:30 PM	EXAMPLE QUESTION (ESSAY)
3:30 - 4:00 PM	OPEN QUESTION PERIOD
4:00 PM	ADJOURN



**REGISTRATION FORM**

**CLFA RPF EXAM PREPARATION SEMINAR**

**November 26, 2018**

**Registration Deadline: *November 10, 2018***

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Phone: \_\_\_\_\_ Email: \_\_\_\_\_

\*CLFA Member @ \$160 (\$175/late – after 11/10) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

\*Non-CLFA Member @ \$190 (\$225/late – after 11/10) \$ \_\_\_\_\_

TOTAL ENCLOSED \$ \_\_\_\_\_

*\*Cancellation/Refund Policy: Refund of registration fee if request is received by 11/10/2018*

**LODGING**

Class participants will be responsible for making their own lodging arrangements.  
No arrangements have been made through CLFA.

**PAYMENT OPTIONS**

**CLFA Federal Tax ID #: 94-2707404**

**Check:** Make check payable to: CLFA and mail with registration form to: CLFA, 2149 Carson Mesa Road, Acton, CA 93510.

**Credit Card – On-Line:** Go to: [www.clfa.org](http://www.clfa.org), “Pay Online” and then follow prompts. This is a secure line.